

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/042,987	01/11/2002	Robert R. Buckley	D/A1651	5864
37211 7	590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
BASCH & NICKERSON LLP			HALIM, SAHERA	
1777 PENFIELD, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TENTILLE, IVI 11320			2157	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B
---

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/042,987	BUCKLEY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sahera Halim	2157	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
Period for			(0) 55014	
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ly 2005.		
,	•	action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the ments is	
1	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	on of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 7-16 is/are pending in the application.			
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are rejected.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>			
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment	(c)			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is in respond to Appeal Brief filled on July 15, 2005.
- Claims 1-6 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 7 –16 have been added.
- 4. Claims 7-16 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 7 10 and 12 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,314,452 to Dekel et al (hereinafter Dekel).
- 3. Reference to claim 1, Dekel teaches a method for viewing on a client-side device, documents requested from a server-side device, the client-side device and the server-side device having a communication link therebetween, comprising (See abstract, Fig. 1 and col. 4, line 1-2, Dekel teaches a client computer 110 is coupled to a server computer 120 through a communication network 130):
- a) generating a request (col. 4, line 22 29, Dekel teaches the user selects, using common browser tools, an image residing on the image file storage device 122.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,987

Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

The corresponding URL request is received and processed by the imaging server 120) from a client-side device (client computer 110) to be sent to a server-side computer (server 120), the request identifying a non-rasterized document, a section of the non-rasterized document (ROI) to be sent to the client-side device document (see abstract, Fig.1 and 2. Dekel teaches requesting ROI from the server and the server receiving the request for ROI), and a compression format corresponding to the client-side device (abstract, client computer performs decoding and rendering for ROI);

- b) the server-side device (server 120) retrieving, in response to receiving the request form the client-side device, the requested non-rasterized document, and identifying the requested section (ROI) of the requested non-rasterize document (see, col. 4, line 62 col. 5, line 10; Dekel teaches identifying ROI by checking if the corresponding data block exists in the cache 121, if not, the sever 120 then computes the data block, stores it in the cache 121);
- c) the server-side device rasterizing the identified section of the requested non-rasterized document (see col. 22, line 37 48; Dekel teaches the uncompressed image is stored in storage 122 of the server 120. This uncompressed image is converted from postscript file to a raster image);
- d) the server-side device compressing the rasterized section of the requested non-rasterized document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device (abstract, Fig. 1-2 and col. 4, line 51 col. 5, line 9, Dekel discloses sending the preprocessed ROI to the client);

Application/Control Number: 10/042,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

e) the server-side device communicating the compressed image to the client-side device (abstract, Fig. 1-2 and col. 4, line 51 – col. 5, line 9, Dekel discloses sending the preprocessed ROI to the client);

- f) the client-side device decompressing the received compressed image (abstract, Dekel discloses the client computer performs decoding); and
- g) the client-side device displaying the decompressed document section requested (abstract, Fig.1 and 2 and col. Col. 15, line 15 col. 16, line 9, Dekel teaches GUI for displaying).
- 4. Regarding claims 8 and 13, Dekel teaches a method as in claim 7, wherein the compression format corresponds to a wavelet compression (col. 4, line 51 col. 5, line 9))
- 5. Reference to claims 9 and 14, Dekel teaches method as in claim 1 wherein wavelet compression is said done in accordance with a JPEG2000 standard (col. 26, line 1 8).
- 6. As to claims 10 and 15, Dekel discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein communication between said client-side device and said server-side device is done over a wireless link (col. 4, lines 11 –14).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dekel. Although the system discloses by Dekel shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), it fails to teach said client-side device is a handheld device. Nonetheless Dekel does teach that the network could be a wireless network (See col. 4, line 11 14). Having the teachings of Dekel it would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace Dekel client computer with a handheld device in order to increase user flexibility.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that Dekel teaches compressing without any rasterization. The examiner disagrees. Dekel teaches rasterization (See col. 22, line 38 – 48). Dekel teaches that the uncompressed image is stored in storage 122 of the server 120. This uncompressed image is converted from postscript file to a raster image.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,987

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sahera Halim whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4003. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sahera Halim Patent Examiner

AU: 2157

September 28, 2005

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100